Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/673,775	MABUCHI, KEIJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DENNIS HOGUE	2622	
All Participants:	l Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>DENNIS HOGUE</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Adam Rehm (Reg # 32,919)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 April 2010	Time: <u>2:30 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 6, 13, 20, 25			
Prior art documents discussed: Chi '109, Chi et al. '596			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Jason Whipkey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After getting approval from his supervisor, the examiner faxed proposed amendments to the attorney for the applicant on 4/9/2010 and called the attorney for the applicant on 4/12/2010 to discuss the proposed claim amendments. The reason for the proposed claim amendments was to put the claims in condition for allowance over the Chi and Chi et al. references, as well as the other art of record. On 4/29/2010 the examiner spoke with the attorney who confirmed that the applicant had approved the claim amendments. The amendments are being made as an Examiner's Amendment..